## communication delays Accounting for

Undergraduate student at Ecole Polytechnique | Intern with Sergio Mover @ Cosynus Team, LIX **Guruprerana Shabadi** 

#### Motivation

- space drones navigating in avoidance problem with Consider the collision
- to avoid collision positions to other drones communicate their Drones need to
- communication protocols Delays arising with





collision navigate without Drones trying to

overlap which cannot Reserved regions

navigate safely... This automata can help each drone

if there were no delays communication



Figures from Pallottino, L., Scordio, V. G., Bicchi, A., and Frazzoli, E. 2007. Decentralized cooperative policy for conflict resolution in multivehicle systems. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 23(6):1170-1183

Hybrid automata cannot capture delays



When two drones come close, they need to switch from *straight* mode to *hold* mode

But what if there is a delay in knowing the position of the other drone?

conflict resolution in multivehicle systems. IEEE Transactions on Robotics, 23(6):1170–1183. Collision avoidance policy from Pallottino, L., Scordio, V. G., Bicchi, A., and Frazzoli, E. 2007. Decentralized cooperative policy for

#### Nature of the delays

- Do not affect dynamics within a discrete mode
- Only affect which discrete

mode we are in, i.e., they

affect transitions





Fig: An oversimplified cruise control system

#### these delays? How do we model

## Preliminary: Hybrid automata

## A hybrid automata is composed of

- Vector of system variables  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- Set of discrete modes  $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$  and an associated invariant  $I_{q_i}$
- Transitions between them  $E \subseteq Q \times Q$
- A vector field associated to each discrete mode  $F_{q_i}$
- A guard set associated to each transition  $G_{(q_i,q_j)}$
- A reset map associated to each transition  $R_{(q_i,q_j)}$



# How can we extend the semantic of hybrid automata

A lazy hybrid automata LHA (in the continuous semantic) is composed of

- Vector of system variables  $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$
- A vector of 'input' variables  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$
- Set of discrete modes  $Q = \{q_1, \ldots, q_k\}$  and an associated invariant  $I_{q_i}$
- Transitions between them  $E \subseteq Q \times Q$
- A vector field associated to each discrete mode  $F_{q_i}$
- A guard set associated to each transition  $G_{(q_i,q_j)}$
- A reset map associated to each transition  $R_{(q_i,q_j)}$
- Set of time bounds associated to each 'input' variable

$$B = ((l_1, u_1), \dots, (l_n, u_n))$$

Springer (2003) 1-15. Inspired from the discrete semantic presented in Agrawal, M., Thiagarajan, P.: Lazy rectangular hybrid automat. In: 7th HSCC, LNCS 2993,

# A different semantic for guard evaluation at transitions

#### **Hybrid automata** Can take transition if

 $(X(t), y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t)) \in G_{(q_i, q_j)}$ 

#### Lazy hybrid automata

Can take transition if

$$\exists t_1 \in [t - l_1, t - u_1], \dots, \exists t_n \in [t - l_n, t - u_n]$$

 $(X(t), y_1(t_1), \dots, y_n(t_n)) \in G_{(q_i, q_j)}$ 



### Same with invariants

#### Hybrid automata Invariant holds if $orall t \in [0,T]$ $(x_1(t),\ldots,x_n(t)) \in I_{q_i}$

## Lazy hybrid automata Invariant holds if $\forall t \in [0,T]$

 $\exists t_1 \in [t - l_1, t - u_1], \dots, \exists t_n \in [t - l_n, t - u_n]$ 

$$(x_1(t_1), \dots, x_n(t_n)) \in I_{q_i}$$

# An example trace in a lazy hybrid automator



I We have a delay of upto 2s in the i.e.,  $v_2(t) = 15 - t$ starting from an initial value of 15, In this case, we fix the dynamics of  $v_2$  to evolve at a constant rate of -1





#### Challenges

- Understanding the expressive power of the model
- Can we translate these into regular hybrid automata?
- Identifying reasonable restrictions/assumptions to allow translations, i.e.,

under what conditions are they equivalent to regular hybrid automata?

### Translations!

## Translation of lazy hybrid automata

What works

New guard evaluation semantic

#### What does not work

X Almost non-zeno condition required: upper bound on number of transitions within a given time period

X Invariants

#### To translate

Maintain

Consider our example of cruise control with four state variables  $x_{\gamma}$ ,  $v_{\gamma}$ ,  $x_{2}$ ,  $v_{2}$  such that the time bounds for  $v_{2}$ are [t-2, t], i.e., there can be upto a 2s delay in the communication of  $v_{2}$ 

Almost non-zeno condition: Suppose there can be *at most* 3 transitions in a 2s window





**Franslation: the new hybrid automator** 

Introduce 3 new variables  $s_1$ ,  $s_2$ , and  $s_3$  to save the state of  $V_2$ 



#### Invariants are hard to translate in the new semantic

Cannot hope to store every value taken on by a variable within the time bounds, i.e., we would require infinite storage/computation

Same problem with removing the almost non-zeno condition!

## Translation of *lazy* timed automata

#### What works

- Invariants along with their new semantic
- New guard evaluation semantic

#### What does not work

X Almost non-zeno condition required: upper bound on number of transitions within a given time period

#### To translate

Consider a timed automata with two clocks *x*, *y* such that the time bounds for *y* are [*t*-10, *t*], i.e., there can be upto a *10s* delay in the observation of *y* 

Almost non-zeno condition: Suppose there can be *at most 2* transitions in a *10s* window





Translation: new predicates (both invariants and guards!)



 $\bigvee (y_2 > y_3) \land (3 \in [\max(y_2 - 10, 0), y_2 - y_3])$  $(y_1 > y_2) \land (3 \in [\max(y_1 - 10, 0), y_1 - y_2])$  $\bigvee (y_3 > y_1) \land (3 \in [\max(y_3 - 10, 0), y_3 - y_1])$ 

#### **Translations**

Lazy hybrid automata	Lazy timed automata	Subclass of lazy hybrid automata
'Almost non-xeno' condition and no invariants	'Almost non-xeno' condition	Restrictions
Regular hybrid automata	Regular timed automata	Translation

## **To summarize**

LHA > HA?

- Lazy hybrid automata allow us to capture delays in observation of variables which determine which discrete mode that we are present in
- Allowed to take transitions based on a state held in the past
- Tough to capture the new invariants in translations (except timed automata)

#### **Next objectives**

Understanding the relationship between the continuous semantic and the discrete semantic of lazy HA presented in Agrawal, M., Thiagarajan, P.: Lazy

rectangular hybrid automat. In: 7th HSCC, LNCS 2993, Springer (2003) 1–15

- Reachability analysis on lazy HA
- Delays within the dynamics DDEs
- Proving that Lazy HA > HA